

FEB-16-2004, MON 01:05 PM SENNIGER POWERS

FAX NO. 3142314342

P. 01

171 ✓
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 17 2004

Law Offices of
SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT AND ROEDEL
One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
Telephone (314) 231-5400
Facsimile (314) 231-4342

~~OFFICIAL~~

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

DATE: February 16, 2004 ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER: KCC 4788
PTO FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 872-9310

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO: Elizabeth M. Cole
THIS FACSIMILE IS BEING SENT BY: Christopher M. Goff
NUMBER OF PAGES: 12 INCLUDING COVER SHEET

TIME SENT: 1:07 pm OPERATOR'S NAME Donna M. Tucker

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to
the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Donna M. Tucker
Typed or printed name of person signing certification

Donna M. Tucker
Signature

February 16, 2004
Date

Type of paper transmitted: Response After Final Office Action

Applicant's Name: Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc.

Serial No. (Control No.): 10/029,332 Examiner: E. Cole

Filing Date: December 20, 2001 Art Unit: 1771

Application Title: PRODUCTS FOR CONTROLLING MICROBIAL GENERATED
ODORS

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES CLEARLY, CALL BACK AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE. CONFIRMING NUMBER IS (314) 231-5400.

FEB-16-2004, MON 01:06 PM SENNIGER POWERS

FAX NO. 3142314342

P 02
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 17 2004

KCC 4788
K-C 15,397
PATENT

OFFICIAL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of Koenig, et al.
Serial No. 10/029,322
Filed December 20, 2001
Confirmation No. 6145
For PRODUCTS FOR CONTROLLING MICROBIAL GENERATED ODORS
Examiner Elizabeth M. Cole

Art Unit 1771

February 16, 2004

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,
SIR:

In response to the Final Office Action dated December 18, 2003, please consider the following Remarks set forth below. Consideration of these Remarks after final is appropriate under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 because they raise no new issues, and place the application in better form for appeal. Additionally, as noted below, the Examiner has agreed to consider the Remarks as the Final Office Action was prematurely issued.

REMARKS

No amendments have been made in response to the Final Office Action. Therefore, claims 1-6, 9-17, 20-23, 26-28, 31-35, and 38 will be pending upon entry of this Response After Final Office Action.

On February 3, 2004, Applicants' representative Mr. Christopher M. Goff and Examiner Elizabeth M. Cole had a scheduled telephone interview to discuss the patentability of claims 1-6, 9-17, 23-23, 26-28, 31-35, and 38. During the interview, Mr. Goff contended that the finality of the Office